## English 090 Essay Rubric – Class-Created Percentages – Laurie Prange-Martin

	Grade = A (90-100%)	Grade = B (80-89%)	Grade = C (70-79%)	Grade = D (0-69%)	Mark
Focus (thesis), purpose, and argument	Clear original thesis and argument. Focused and specific argument. Purpose is clear. Logical development of thesis as appropriate to assignment purpose. Acknowledgement of complexity. Sustained analysis.	Well-developed thesis. Adequate understanding of assigned topic. Some complexity. Logical organization is clear and effective.	A somewhat effective thesis that somewhat responds to the assignment. Logical is clear, but some errors in argument. No sustained analysis.	Weak or no thesis. Unclear logical organization. Writing too broad and does not respond to the assignment. Argument is only indirectly supported.	/
ldea development and evidence	Sources are assessed critically, including counter-arguments. Consistent evidence. Originality and depth of ideas. Main points are defined and supported with evidence. Support is valid.	Overuse of quotations to make argument. Ideas are sufficiently supported. Support is valid and logical. Effective explanations that connect the ideas and evidence to the thesis.	Somewhat effective explanations that connect the ideas and evidence to the thesis claim. Counter arguments are not supplied.	Ideas are not indirectly supported. Support is not sufficient and only loosely related to main ideas. Little to no connection of ideas and evidence to the thesis.	/
Organization and structure	Writing is organized, logical, and sequenced appropriately to assignment. One idea per paragraph with support and smooth transitions. Exceptional introduction and conclusion	Effective introduction and conclusion. Some smooth transitions. Writing is mainly well organized, logical, and sequenced, but with a few errors.	Somewhat effective or off-topic introduction and conclusion. Competent organization. Competent paragraph structure. Lacking in effective transitions.	Paragraphs not organized around a thesis, paragraphs too complicated or stand-alones, transitions weak. Ineffective or no introduction and conclusion.	/
Audience, tone, style	Clear idea of audience related to purpose of assignment, tone, and point-of-view appropriate to the audience. Writer's voice is revealed. Writing engages reader.	Sometimes successful tone and point-of-view. Adequate addressing of audience. Writing sometimes engages reader.	Awareness of an audience. Tone and point-of-view satisfactory. Writing sounds dry at times.	Inconsistent or little sense of audience. Tone and point-of-view not consistent. Writing does not engage the reader.	/
Sentence structure, vocabulary, grammar	Clear, concise sentences. Each sentence is powerfully structured. Rich, well-chosen vocabulary. Variety of sentence styles and length. Writer skillfully communicates meaning to readers.	Errors due to lack of proofreading. Effective and varied sentences. Writing effectively communicates meaning. Creative use of vocabulary. No fragments or run-on sentences.	Grammar errors that limit understanding. Use of colloquialisms. Limited vocabulary. Some fragment and run-on sentences.	Repetitive sentence patterns. Repetitive use of same words. Many errors in grammar that prevent understanding. Several fragment and run-on sentences.	/
Mechanics, conventions, presentation	Without errors of punctuation, spelling, etc. Appropriate format and presentation. No errors in referencing.	Almost without errors. Mostly correct referencing in text and in reference list. Mostly correct formatting.	Somewhat correct referencing with some formatting errors.	Many errors of punctuation, spelling, etc. Incorrect formatting or referencing. Formatting inconsistency.	/
Storytelling	Strong, engaging story. Necessary details are included to show instead of tell the story.	Some elements of storytelling techniques. Some instances of showing instead of telling.	One or two instances of effective storytelling. Mainly telling instead of showing the story.	No elements of storytelling.	/10
TOTAL					/100