

English 090 - Reading Response Rubric - Laurie Prange-Martin

Criteria	An <i>A</i> essay has: ( 9.0-10.0 points)	A <i>B</i> essay has: (8.0-8.9 points)	A <i>C</i> essay has: (7.0-7.9 points)	A <i>D</i> to <i>F</i> essay has: (0-6.9 points)	Your Points
<b>Paragraph #1 Introduction/Topic</b> An introduction to the reading, "setting the stage," and any assumptions held by the student before having read the text.	An <i>exceptional</i> introduction that identifies the focus of the paper, its context, and a preview of the essay body. An engaging discussion of the preconceived ideas and biases the student had before reading the text. Accurate APA referencing of assigned text.	An <i>effective</i> introduction that includes the focus of the paper, its context, and a preview of the essay body. A good discussion of the preconceived ideas and biases the student had before reading the text. Mostly accurate APA referencing of assigned text.	A <i>somewhat effective</i> introduction that includes the focus of the paper, its context, and a preview of the essay body. An adequate discussion of the preconceived ideas and biases the student had before reading the text. Somewhat accurate APA referencing of assigned text.	No introduction or an introduction without a focus to the paper, context, or preview of the essay body. Little to no discussion of the preconceived ideas and biases the student had before reading the text. Poor to no APA referencing of assigned text.	/10
<b>Paragraph #2 Background</b> Any information you find about the author, comments by authors on the work, other works on the same topic, etc. placing the text in its larger literary context	<i>Exceptional</i> supporting evidence that make logical sense. Important background information provided, placing the text in its larger literary context. Excellent presentation of evidence on the logical relationships to other works by the same author or authors writing on the same topic. No unnecessary evidence is presented, nothing to distract the reader. The logic behind include all evidence is clearly articulated.	<i>Effective</i> supporting evidence that make logical sense. Some important background information provided. Good presentation of evidence on the logical relationships to other works by the same author or authors writing on the same topic. Only a small amount of irrelevant evidence is presented, at least none that would distract the reader too much and the logic for its inclusion is well explained.	Supporting evidence, which <i>somewhat</i> make sense. Useful background information provided. Some presentation of evidence on the logical relationships to other works by the same author or authors writing on the same topic. Some irrelevant evidence is presented, but there is at least some demonstrated logic to its inclusion.	Either <i>very few</i> or <i>no</i> supporting evidence or ideas/evidence which <i>do not make sense</i> . Little to no background information provided. Little to no links to other works by the same author or authors writing on the same topic. Possibly redundant or irrelevant evidence presented.	/10
<b>Paragraph #3 Analysis</b> An identification and analysis of the thesis of the work and 2-3 major themes, and your response to what the author is saying. Also include your observations on the writing style of the reading.	<i>Exceptional</i> explanations that connect the ideas/evidence to the thesis of the reading. Imaginative analysis with exception use of quotations and paraphrasing of the text. Excellent description of the 3 major themes and the students' response to the themes. Excellent description of the relationships between themes. Excellent analysis of the writing style of the author.	<i>Effective</i> explanations that connect the ideas/evidence to the thesis of the reading. Uses quotations well and paraphrases with effective analysis. Excellent description of the thesis of the work and its 3 major themes. Themes identified relate very well to one another. Good comments from the student on his/her response to these themes. Effective analysis of the writing style of the author.	<i>Somewhat effective</i> explanations that connect the ideas/evidence to the thesis of the reading. Quoting and paraphrasing exceeds analysis. Identification of the thesis and 3 major themes, but no further discussion is included. Themes identified relate well to one another. Successful description of the students' response to the text. Valuable observations on the writing style of the author.	<i>Ineffective</i> or <i>no connection</i> of ideas/evidence to the thesis of the reading. Little to no significant analysis. Major themes identified do not relate logically to one another or to the text. Excessive quoting and paraphrasing without useful integration into the essay. Unsuccessful description of the students' response to the text. Feeble or little to no observations on the writing style of the author.	/10
<b>Paragraph #4 Conclusion</b> A final paragraph that brings the reader back to what the reading was about, how it fits within the learning outcomes of the course, and the wider significant of the work to you and to other readers	An <i>exceptional</i> conclusion. Demonstrates an exceptional grasp of the larger goals of the course and assignment. Full and rich discussion of the relevance of the reading and the students' response to the text, the assignment, and the learning outcomes of the course.	An <i>effective</i> conclusion. Demonstrates a good grasp of the larger goals of the course, and assignment. Includes a significant discussion on the relevance of the reading and the students' response to the text. A good analysis of the relationship between the text and the learning outcomes of the course.	A <i>somewhat effective</i> or <i>off-topic</i> conclusion. Describes some usefulness of the text for the course and assignment. Some references to the students' response to the reading and its greater purpose to the student. Sufficient addressing of the relevance of the text to the learning outcomes of the course.	<i>Ineffective</i> or <i>no</i> conclusion. Includes little to no information about the usefulness of the text to the student, with little to no discussion of the relevance to the course or reader.	/10
<b>Style, Mechanics, &amp; Formatting</b> A review of your writing style and your grammar; how you have presented your arguments, how you have integrated quotations from the reading into your essay; and the overall formatting of your essay.	Paragraphs with <i>exceptional</i> topic sentences, supporting sentences and concluding sentences. <i>Exceptional</i> control of grammar and writing conventions with no errors. <i>Correct</i> formatting	Paragraphs with <i>effective</i> topic sentences, supporting sentences and concluding sentences. <i>Effective</i> control of grammar and writing conventions, with few errors that do not prevent understanding. <i>Mostly correct</i> formatting.	Paragraphs with <i>somewhat effective</i> topic sentences, supporting sentences and concluding sentences. <i>Somewhat effective</i> control of grammar and writing conventions, with occasional errors that limit understanding. <i>Somewhat correct</i> formatting.	Paragraphs with <i>ineffective</i> or <i>no</i> topic sentences, supporting sentences or concluding sentences. A <i>lack of</i> control of grammar and writing conventions, with frequent errors that prevent understanding. <i>Incorrect</i> formatting.	/10

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_ / 50 = \_\_\_\_\_ / 100%

